HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.10859 OF 2006
Dated:08.06.2006

-

-

S.Manamma

…Petitioner

The Station House Officer, I Town Crime Police Station, Anantapur, Anantapur District and another.

…Respondents

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.10859 OF 2006

-

-

ORAL ORDER: *(per Hon'ble Sri Justice D.S.R.Varma)*

Heard the learned Assistant Government Pleader, representing the learned Advocate General, appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a telegram, dated 25.05.2006, sent by one S.Manamma, R/o Anantapur, *inter alia*, complaining that her husband-

Munnappa was illegally arrested by the I Town Crime Police, Anantapur, 15 days prior to the issuance of the telegram.

3. After service of notice, the first respondent – Sub-Inspector of Police, I Town Crime Police Station, Anantapur, filed counter-affidavit stating that the alleged detenue was not required in any case pending with their Police Station. It is further stated that however, after receipt of the communication from the office of the Advocate General, an enquiry was caused and it revealed that the alleged detenue has been moving freely at the place of his residence. Thereafter, a request was made to the Mandal Executive Magistrate, Anantapur, to cause enquiry with the alleged detenue and to issue a certificate to that effect. Consequently, the Mandal Executive Magistrate, Anantapur, caused an enquiry and recorded the statement of the alleged detenue wherein the detenue himself stated that he is resident of D.No.19-2-1951, Vinayakanagar, Anantapur, and is living along with his wife and children in the said house. It appears that the alleged detenue stated that he has been moving freely.

4. In view of the counter-affidavit filed by the first respondent and in the light of the statement of the alleged detenue recorded by the Mandal Execute Magistrate,

Anantapur, we do not find any merit in this writ petition and the same is liable to be closed.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is closed.

_____

D.S.R.VARMA, J

08.06.2006
DR

_____

P.SWAROOP REDDY, J